Situating the Current NMAS Within the Broader Dispute Resolution Field: An International Comparative Analysis NMAS Review 2020-21

In the global field of dispute resolution (DR), there are many mediator accreditation systems, ranging from highly regulated and centralised accreditation processes to mediation as a largely unregulated and ad hoc profession. In reviewing the National Accreditation System (NMAS) in Australia and its future, it is important to understand and situate our existing system within a context of the broader DR field. This report highlights some key similarities and differences between the NMAS and mediation systems from jurisdictions selected for comparison. We conclude that Australia is well placed to set a high benchmark in mediator standards.

Refer to the table at Appendix 1 to view more in-depth comparisons and sources.

Regulation of Mediation

When it comes to the regulation of mediation worldwide, Australia sits somewhere in the middle of a broad spectrum of regimes. On one side, mediation has undergone five phases of mediation regulation in Italy, with its current law mandating initial mediation sessions for a limited category of cases. On the other side, while most jurisdictions have some form of civil procedure rules that consider and promote ADR before litigation (including Australia), mediation remains largely unregulated in the UK and the USA.

In Australia and New Zealand (NZ), government regulation has come in the form of legislative schemes for family dispute resolution and conciliation in particular dispute areas.

Mediator Accreditation

All mediator accreditation systems analysed from the selected jurisdictions require training (usually a five-day course) with an assessment and application process. There are national mediator accreditation systems in Australia, Hong Kong and Italy. Hong Kong and Italy operate centralised accreditation systems (Hong Kong Mediation Accreditation Association Limited (HKMAAL) and the Italian Ministry of Justice, respectively), while Australia has a decentralised system through Registered Mediator Accreditation Bodies (RMABs).

In other countries, accreditation systems are managed by various professional organisations, which each have their own standards. Therefore, the credibility or status of

THE CURRENT NMAS: NMAS REVIEW 2020-21

accreditation from a particular organisation would seemingly depend on the organisation's reputation.

Presumption of Mediation Style/Process

There is a consensus among jurisdictions that mediation standards either do not presume a particular style of mediation or presume the facilitative style of mediation. Jurisdictions, including Australia, NZ and the EU accommodate flexibility in mediation style, for example, allowing mediators to decide with parties how a mediation is to be conducted.

Family Dispute Resolution

Most jurisdictions provide for family mediation through legislation, court rules or government schemes. Australia and NZ each have specific legislative schemes that set out requirements for family dispute resolution (FDR) practitioners and operational measures for the use of FDR.

In Singapore, judges of the court take a proactive approach in managing family disputes: They can appoint anyone as mediator and direct parties to attend mediation. There is no legislative scheme for the requirements of mediators. Other jurisdictions, such as the UK and Hong Kong, maintain registers/panels of family mediators, again without any legislative scheme for the requirements of mediators.

Conciliation

As a process distinct from mediation, conciliation is often provided for under regulatory schemes in particular subject matters such as labour relations and discrimination cases. The NMAS and the NZ Ministry of Business currently refer to conciliation as a blended or combined process, where the conciliator may have an advisory role on the content or outcome of a dispute, but not usually a determinative one. In Singapore, the distinction comes in the form of parties seeking guidance from a State Court judge during a conciliation process.

Online Dispute Resolution

The growth in popularity of online dispute resolution (ODR) in recent times (especially considering the COVID-19 global pandemic) has seen the development of international standards/principles and regimes for ODR. Such principles include specific mention of issues related to using online platforms, such as accessibility, confidentiality of data, security, transparency and informed participation. Various online platforms and models have been established with great success, such as the Condominium Authority Tribunal, a fully online tribunal in Ontario, Canada.

HE CURRENT NMAS: NMAS REVIEW 2020-21

Conclusion

Having conducted a comparison of mediator accreditation systems worldwide, we conclude that the NMAS is unique in comprising of standards for both mediators and the practice of mediation. Our system remains flexible in allowing for different styles of mediation while still maintaining robust standards for training and competency of accredited mediators. While the accreditation system is decentralised through RMABs, the NMAS remains a central framework to promote consistent and high-quality practice. The regulatory landscape of Australia provides for some legislative intervention in particular categories of disputes and allows for a strong culture of private practice and referrals. These factors set a high benchmark in mediator standards and position Australia as a world leader.

Key Differences and Similarities – Summary

Regulation of Mediation

- Broad spectrum when it comes to regulation of mediation Australia sits somewhere in the middle.
- On one hand, Italy is highly regulated, with law requiring an initial mediation session for a limited category of cases. History of five regulatory mediation phases.
- On the other hand, while most jurisdictions have some form of civil procedure rules that consider ADR before litigation, mediation is largely unregulated in the UK and the US.
- Australia and NZ have legislative schemes for family dispute resolution.

Accreditation Systems

- All accreditation systems require training (usually 5-day course) and an assessment and application process.
- Australia, Hong Kong and Italy have a national mediator accreditation system. Hong Kong and Italy operate centralised accreditation systems (HKMAAL, Italian Ministry of Justice), while Australia has a decentralised system through RMABs.
- Accreditation systems in other countries are managed by various professional organisations
 which have their own standards. The credibility of a particular accreditation would
 seemingly depend on the reputation of the organisation.

Mediation Style

- Consensus among jurisdictions is either no presumption or presumption of facilitative style of mediation.
- Jurisdictions including Australia, NZ and the EU allow for flexibility, such as by deciding with parties how mediation is to be conducted.

Specialisations: Family Dispute Resolution, Conciliation and ODR

- Most jurisdictions provide for family mediation through legislation, court rules or government schemes.
- Australia and NZ have legislative schemes for FDR practitioner requirements.

How does Australia Compare to the Rest of the World?

- NMAS is unique comprising of standards for mediators and practice of mediation
- Flexible as to style of mediation
- Decentralised accreditation system through RMABs but still tied to central framework through the NMAS
- Some legislative intervention in particular types of disputes (family, conciliation)

HE CURRENT NMAS: NMAS REVIEW 2020-21

Appendix 1

Append	Appendix						
Jurisdiction	Standards	Contents	Accreditation	Specialisation	Notes		
Australia	National	NMAS – Practice Standards	- 38 hours training course	- FDR practitioner requirements	Requirements for RMABs		
	Mediator	- Process (incl. preliminary	- Role play assessment	set out in Family Law (Family	set out in NMAS – must		
	<u>Accreditation</u>	conference and conducting	- Apply to Recognised Mediator	<u>Dispute Resolution</u>	have capacity to assess		
	<u>System</u>	mediation)	Accreditation Body (RMAB)	Practitioners) Regulations 2008	accreditation, manage		
		- Power and safety		and managed by Attorney-	complaints, etc.		
		- Procedural fairness and		General's Department			
		impartiality; confidentiality		(legislative scheme)	Style: "facilitating		
		- Knowledge, skills and ethical		- Complaints mechanism about	negotiation", also allows		
		principles		FDRPs through RMAB rather	for evaluative style		
				than Department	subject to consent from		
				- Conciliation provided for under	parties		
				regulatory schemes (more info			
				TBC) – refer 10.2 of Practice			
				Standards (referred to as a			
				blended process compared with			
				mediation)			
Hong Kong	Hong Kong	<u>Code</u>	General Mediator	- General Mediator & Family	Centralised and heavily		
	Mediation Code	- Mediator's responsibilities to	- Stage 1 training course	Mediator panels managed by	regulated accreditation		
		the parties	- Stage 2 centralised assessment	HKMAAL/HKIAC (non-legislative	process.		
	Hong Kong	- Mediator's responsibilities to	through HKMAAL (x2)	scheme)			
	<u>Mediation</u>	the process and the public	- Stage 3 application	- <u>Practice Direction 15.10</u> refers	HKMAAL handles		
	<u>Ordinance</u>	- Sample agreement to mediate		to Family Court mediation	<u>complaints</u> against		
	(legislation)		Family Mediator	scheme (process rather than	accredited		
		<u>Ordinance</u>	- Stage 1 training course	mediator requirements)	mediators/breaches of		
	Hong Kong	- Confidentiality and	- Stage 2 supervised live family	- Conciliation referred to in	Mediation Code.		
	<u>International</u>	admissibility	mediation (x2)	various (eight) other ordinances,			
	<u>Arbitration</u>		- Stage 3 advanced training	including labour relations,	Facilitative Style:		
	Centre Mediation		course	discrimination, Ombudsman,	"Mediator will not give		

Rules (mainly procedural)		 Stage 4 application Conversion process available both ways Minimum 3 years' work experience 	etc. – Mediation Ordinance does not apply to conciliation	legal or other professional advice; impose a result; or make decisions"
New Zealand Dispute Resolution Centre Rules Arbitrators' and Mediators' Institute of New Zealand (AMINZ) Inc Code of Ethics / Mediation Protocol Family Dispute Resolution Act 2013 / Family Dispute Resolution Regulations 2013	representation - General rules – confidentiality - Template documents AMINZ - 13 ethical statements with	Accreditation by professional organisations with their own standards. Resolution Institute - 5-day training course - Mediation assessment AMINZ - Associate membership (broad and simple) - Fellowship (interview, 1-day seminar, 2 x 2 hour written exams, 1-day practical exam) - Understanding of laws - Determinative OR consent-based dispute resolution NZ Law Society Panel of Mediators — Family Specialist - Training - Membership of Law Society - 5 years' experience	 FDR providers: 3 approved organisations (RI, AMINZ, NZ Law Society) or appointed by Ministry of Justice FDR Act & Regulations set out duties of FDR providers for specified disputes and provides operational measures required for use of FDR (<i>legislative scheme</i>, similar to Australia) Conciliation as defined by Ministry of Business: "processes used to resolve complaints and disputes including: Informal discussions held independently between the parties and an external agency in an endeavour to avoid, resolve or manage a dispute. Combined processes in which, for example, an impartial party facilitates discussion between the 	No national/centralised standards. Each body has complaints handling process. Facilitative or Evaluative Style: The Mediator may, at his or her sole discretion, provide an analysis or evaluation of the relevant facts, evidence, and legal merits of the matters in dispute in the Mediation to promote settlement discussions. (NZDRC)

				parties, provides advice on the substance of the dispute, makes proposals for settlement or actively contributes to the terms of any agreement. The conciliator may have an advisory role on the content of the dispute or the outcome of its resolution but not usually a determinative role. The conciliator may advise on or determine the process of conciliation whereby resolution is attempted, and may make suggestions for terms of settlement, give expert advice on likely settlement terms, and may actively encourage the participants to reach an agreement."	
International Mediation	Code of Professional	<u>Code</u> - Appointment	IMI Certified Mediators - 200 hours or 20 mediations	- <u>Academy of Professional</u> <u>Family Mediators</u> (certification +	Complaints for breach of Code – Professional
Institute (IMI)	Conduct	- Impartiality	- Knowledge	ethical standards) – founded in	Conduct Assessment
		- Mediation process	- Skills	2012	Process
	Competency	- Confidentiality			
	<u>Criteria</u>	Competency			No presumption of style.
	Qualifying	Competency Minimum standards for:			This Code is inspired by
	Assessment	- IMI Certified Mediators			and based on:
	Program (QAP)				

and Guidelines –	- IMI Certified Mediation		
to approve	Advocates		(1) The Model Rule for the
mediators for IMI	- IMI Intercultural Competence		Lawyer as a Third Party
<u>certification</u>	specialisation		Neutral of the CPR-
	- IMI Online Dispute Resolution		Georgetown Commission
Certified	specialisation		on Ethics & Standards in
Mediator Training			ADR (2002)
<u>Program</u>	QAP Criteria		
<u>Requirements</u>	- Mediator experience		(2) Code of Conduct for
	- Mediation knowledge		Mediators of the UIA
	- Mediator skills		Forum of Mediation
	- Program transparency		Centres (2003)
			(3) European Code of
			Conduct for Mediators of
			the European Commission
			(2004)
			(4) Model Standards of
			Conduct for Mediators
			(2005) adopted by AAA,
			ABA and ACR
			(5) 5:1: 10:11: (
			(5) Ethical Guidelines for
			Mediators of the Law
			Council of Australia (2006)
			(C) IANAC NA odists
			(6) JAMS Mediators
			Ethical Guidelines
			(7) The Guidelines for the
			(7) The Guidelines for the appointment of
			appointment of

					mediators, confidentiality and termination of the Chartered Institute of Arbitrators (8) The Swiss Rule of Commercial Mediation under Mediation Rules and Clauses
Singapore	<u>Singapore</u>	SIMI Code	SMC Accredited Mediator	- Family Justice Rules 2014	No presumption of style.
	Mediation Centre	- Independence, neutrality and	- Training course	(regulation): Court can appoint	
	 accreditation 	impartiality	- Mediation skills assessment: 2 x	anyone as mediator and direct	
	only	- Confidentiality	written assessments + 1 practical	parties to attend mediation –	
	6:	- Procedure	assessment	judges, staff family mediators,	
	<u>Singapore</u>	Na diation Ast	CINAL	court family specialists and	
	International Mediation	Mediation Act Stay of sourt proceedings	SIMI - 4 tiers: Accredited Mediator	volunteer specialists	
	Institute Code of	- Stay of court proceedings		- Note: proactive management	
	Professional	- Admissibility and confidentiality	level 1, level 2, level 3, Certified Mediator	by judges - Family Justice Courts Practice	
	Conduct	Confidentiality	Mediator	Directions on court-ordered	
	(subsidiary of	State Courts		mediation – refers to Singapore	
	National	- Core mediation principles		Mediation Centre for private	
	University of	- Statement of ethics		mediation, unless parties have	
	Singapore)			agreed private mediator	
				- No legislative scheme for	
	Mediation Act			mediator requirements	
	2017			- Stage Courts of Singapore:	
				"Conciliation is a court dispute	
	State Courts of			resolution process for you and	
	Singapore – Code			the other party in your case to	
	of Ethics and			resolve your dispute without	
	Basic Principles			going for a trial in Court. It	

				allows you and the other party to seek guidance from the Judge during the conciliation session and tap on his experience and knowledge to come up with an optimal settlement for all of you."	
American Bar Association	Model Standards of Conduct for Mediators	Model Standards - Self-determination - Impartiality - Conflicts of interest - Competence	No accreditation.	APAFM (as above).	Facilitative Style: "Mediation is a process in which an impartial third party facilitates communication and negotiation and promotes voluntary decision making by the parties to the dispute."
Italy	Law Decree no. 69/2013 and no. 28/2010 (Note: Italy has undergone five different mediation regulatory phases)	- Required initial mediation session "consultation with mediator" for limited category of cases (parties can then decide to opt out or try mediation) - Law requires parties' lawyers to assist during mandatory mediation	 Hold 3-year undergraduate degree or enrolled in professional society Training > 54 hours Assist experienced mediator in > 20 cases Mediation providers and mediators accredited by Italian Ministry of Justice 	Family mediation – Law Decree no. 28/2010	Article: Mediation in Italy vs UK Article: The Italian Way of Mediation Article: Implementation of Family Mediation in Italy and Albania Article: Selecting Mediators in Italy
UK	No central professional body or particular	EU Code of Conduct - Competence, independence and impartiality - Procedure	CEDR, College of Mediators, UK Mediation, ADR Group, London School of Mediation, etc.	- Family Mediation Council (non- profit organisation) maintains register of family mediators – UK	- CMC and FMC operate final stage complaints procedure for their members

	accreditation for mediators. Civil Mediation Council Mediator Registration Scheme (private institution, voluntary training and practice standards) – adopts EU Code odecif Conduct for Mediators 2004 (very simple) Family Mediation Council Code of Practice	- Confidentiality FMC Code of Practice - Aims and Objectives - Qualifications and Training - Scope of Mediation - General Principles re Information and Assessment Meetings and to Mediation (conflicts of interest, confidentiality, welfare of children, etc) - General Principles re Mediation (impartiality, confidentiality, voluntary, power imbalance)	government website points to FMC to find a mediator. - English law provides no definition of conciliation or mediation, although conciliation often used in employment disputes.	Flexible process, however, typically parties move into separate rooms after opening statements, then mediator <i>shuttles</i> between them. Article: Mediation in United Kingdom
ODR	Practice International Council for Online Dispute Resolution Standards + Ethical Principles for Online Dispute Resolution (non- profit	ICODR - Specific to online DR: accessible, accountable, confidentiality of data, equal, secure, transparent, honesty, informed participation CCODR - Refers to ICODR Standards - Processes include adjudication, arbitration, mediation		Article: Family ODR in Netherlands – new platform

· ·	orated in - Practitioner requirements of		
the US	competence, professional		
	development, independence,		
EU Reg	<u>ulation on</u> process		
<u>Online</u>	<u>Dispute</u> - Complaints procedure		
Resolu	tion for		
Consu	<u>CAT Rules of Practice</u>		
Disput	- Mostly procedural: stage 1		
(provid	es negotiation, stage 2 mediation		
Europe	an ODR stage 3 tribunal decision		
platfor	m; not - Mediation: "In this stage, the		
specifi	c to CAT assigns a Mediator to wor	(
media	ion) with the Users to try to resolve		
	the issues in dispute. If the Use	rs	
Consu	ner Code agree to settle the issues in		
for On	ine dispute in this stage, the CAT-		
Disput	ODR system will provide them		
Resolu	tion (based with either a Settlement		
in Irela	nd; Agreement or a Consent Order		
facilita	ted self- that includes the terms they		
regulat	ion) agreed upon."		
Condo	minium CAT Member Code of Conduct		
Author	- Fairness, independence,		
Tribun	al Rules of timeliness, quality,		
Practio			
	er Code of integrity, proactive approach,		
	ct (fully collegiality		
	tribunal in		
	o, Canada)		